

Effect of Genotype and Sex on Meat Yield Characteristics of *Different Chicken Breeds* Reared at 48 Weeks of Age Under Farm and Semi Scavenging Condition

Syed Sarwar Jahan^{1,*}, M. Saiful Islam², Md. Akhtarul Islam¹, Md. Shariful Islam¹,
Md. Hemayatul Islam¹, Md. Younus Ali¹

¹Department of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

²Department of Zoology, Faculty of Life and Earth Science, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Email address

Syed_jahan@yahoo.com (S. S. Jahan), saifulzoo@yahoo.co.uk (M. S. Islam), maids07@yahoo.com (Md. A. Islam),
surus06@yahoo.com (Md. S. Islam), hemayeatul_islam@yahoo.com (Md. H. Islam), yshila@yahoo.com (Md. Y. Ali)

To cite this article

Syed Sarwar Jahan, M. Saiful Islam, Md. Akhtarul Islam, Md. Shariful Islam, Md. Hemayatul Islam, Md. Younus Ali. Effect of Genotype and Sex on Meat Yield Characteristics of *Different Chicken Breeds* Reared at 48 Weeks of Age Under Farm and Semi Scavenging Condition. *International Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*. Vol. 3, No. 6, 2015, pp. 232-236.

Abstract

The aim of the study was to determine the effect of genotype and sex at 48 weeks of age on carcass traits of four breeds of chicken. A total of 48 chickens from four genotypes were used with 24 males and 24 females. Two chicken (1 male and 1 female) weighing average of flock weight from each replication of 4 genotypes were selected and slaughtered for processing individually. Meat yield characteristics of slaughtered chickens were recorded. The results revealed that the live weight of males chicken were higher than females counterpart. *Deshi* chicken had the highest value in dressing percentage, thigh meat, breast meat, dark meat and drumstick meat followed by Fayoumi, Rhode Island Red (RIR) and *Sonali* chickens. All genotypes, males had higher meat yield than female counterparts. The sex differences in all genotypes, *Deshi* and RIR male chickens were higher than female. On the other hand, *Deshi* and RIR female chickens were higher value in dressing and breast meat produced than their male counterparts. The results of giblet, gizzard, heart, liver and spleen were found higher in *Deshi* chicken except in liver weight which was higher in *Sonali* chicken. Giblet, gizzard and spleen yield were higher in females than males except in liver and heart which were higher in males than females. The above findings reveal that *Deshi* chicken was better meat yield than other genotypes. Males had higher meat yield than Female counterparts.

Keyword

Carcass Yield, Breed, Male, Female

1. Introduction

Foods derived from animal are important source of nutrients in the human diet and play an increasing role in the most valuable form of animal protein for human consumption (Givens, 2005). Protein in animal tissues is also associated with some saturated fat. Poultry birds are leaner than other livestock. They contain variable amounts of protein, fat and cholesterol. Poultry production and processing technologies have become rapidly accessible and are being implemented on a worldwide basis, which will allow continued expansion and competitiveness in this meat sector (Aho, 2001).

Therefore, the success of poultry meat production has been strongly related to improvements in growth and carcass yield, mainly by increasing breast proportion and reducing abdominal fat. Recently, there is a tremendous increase in poultry production in Bangladesh with consequent increase in poultry meat consumption. The production of poultry meat in Bangladesh is 11500 MT (FAO, 2003). The per capita meat consumption in Bangladesh is one of the lowest in the world. The average per capita meat requirement is 43.25 kg and the available values are only 9.12 kg per year (FAO/APHCA, 2008), whereas world per capita consumption of poultry meat is 30.14 g/d, which is 95.89 g/d for USA (Farrell, 2003). To reduce the gap between demand and

supply of animal protein, poultry can play an important role. Meat holds an important position in our daily diet. It provides palatability and is a good source of essential amino acids, vitamins and minerals (Hossen *et al.*, 2012)

Many attempts have been made to improve the performance of native chicken by introducing exotic breeds for cross-breeding and to produce hybrid with high production performance. However, these animals were not accepted by all consumers, because they did not meet their expectations for meat quality and mainly taste. The native chicken continues to be the most preferred type, with firm and low-fat meat, and free of drug residues (Choprakarn *et al.*, 2000).

Village chickens provide cheap, readily harvestable protein-enriched white meat and for immediate home consumption and sale for income generation (Dolberg and Petersen, 2000; Mapiye and Sibanda, 2005; Miao *et al.* 2005). Consumers eating more chicken meat with its low fat content is often preferred to beef or pig meat (Haslinger *et al.*, 2007).

In Bangladesh, a higher portion of poultry meat mainly comes from *Deshi* chicken, broiler and duck. The share of commercial strain of chicken and family poultry was 60:40 in meat production in Bangladesh (Bhuiyan, 2011). Consumers also acknowledge the relatively low price, the typically convenient portions, and the lack of religious restriction against its consumption (Jaturasitha, 2004). Cholesterol content of meat is being great concern among conscious quarter of the population and must be investigated to address the suitability of meat of different poultry species to customers. The quality of the meat is mainly influenced by genotype of animals and its environment, especially either nutrients or stress undergone during growing period or before slaughter. The carcass yield, breast meat yield, thigh yield, liver and heart and abdominal fat were not influenced by the concentration of dietary energy and protein (Kamran *et al.*, 2008). The study aimed to analyze the effect of breed and sex on carcass characteristics of chicken raised under farm and semi scavenging condition.

2. Materials and Methods

A total of 48 chickens (24 males and 24 females) at 48 weeks of age were reared in farm and semi scavenging systems. A completely randomized experimental design was applied and males and females were allocated equally in the two rearing systems. At the end of the experiment, 2 chickens (1 male and 1 female) weighing average of flock weight from each replication of 4 genotypes were selected. Selected chicken were fasted for 12 hours and slaughtered. After complete bleeding, the slaughtered birds were immersed in pre-warmed water at 70°C for 30 to 60 seconds in order to loosen the feathers of the carcasses, then weighed, eviscerated, dressed, dissected, and the meat stripped from carcass following the method of Jones (1984).

2.1. Data Recorded

The recorded data of each chicken were live weight, dressed carcass weight, dark meat, thigh meat, breast meat, drumstick meat, giblets, heart, liver, spleen and gizzard weight. Meat yield traits were converted into percentages of individual live weight prior to statistical analysis.

Dressing percentage of the birds was determined by dividing the carcass weight with its live weight and multiplied by 100 and expressed as percentage.

$$\text{Dressing \%} = \frac{\text{carcass weight}}{\text{live weight}} \times 100$$

Dark meat was measured as:

Dark meat = thigh meat + drumstick meat + wing meat + trimming meat.

Breast: dark meat ratio was calculated as:

$$\text{Breast: dark meat ratio} = \frac{\text{breastmeat}}{\text{dark meat}}$$

2.2. Statistical Analyses

The meat yield data were subjected to a factorial experiment in a CRD. Four genotypes and two sexes were considered as factor in computing ANOVA for comparison among genotypes and interaction of genotype and sex. Significant differences among the treatments were identified using Least Significant Differences (LSD).

3. Results and Discussion

Live weight of both male and female chickens belonging to four genotypes showed that males were significantly heavier than females (Table 1). Live weight of the chicken varied significantly due to genotype. Interaction between genotypes and sexes ($P < 0.01$) was found to exist for this characteristic. It is evident from results that live weight of RIR (1440) was the highest and the lowest was *Deshi* (920 g). Azharul *et al.* (2005) reported the final body weight of *Sonali* and Fayoumi were 1001g and 959g respectively. Their results appeared to be lower than the present study. Marchi *et al.* (2005) and Iqbal *et al.* (2009) stated live weight of males had significant heavier than females which supported by the present study. Islam and Nishibori (2010) found live weight of RIR, Fayoumi and D. nana were 1147g, 970 g and 970g respectively which were lower than the present research. The dressing percentage were significantly differed among the breeds ($P < 0.01$) (Table 1). This character did not differ showing sex differences and interaction between genotype and gender of the chicken ($P > 0.05$). The results of the present study indicate the dressing yield of *Deshi* was the highest (66.80%) and lowest (58.50%) in *Sonali* (Table 1). Azharul *et al.* (2005) reported dressing yield of *Sonali* and Fayoumi were 62.5% and 62.3% respectively which partially agreed with the current study. Jaturasitha *et al.* (2008) found that dressing of yield between the indigenous and exotic chicken breeds did not differ which disagreed with the present findings. Iqbal *et al.* (2009) reported that of cock and hen

were 70.11 and 63.80% respective that appeared higher than the present results (male 61.60%, female 61.20%). Dressing yield of male and female was not significantly different. The dark meat yield in *Deshi* chicken had the highest value

followed by Fayoumi, RIR and *Sonali* (Table 1). Irrespective of all genetic groups males had higher yield dark meat compared to females. Interaction between genotypes and sexes was found to exist for this characteristic.

Table 1. Interaction of genotypes and sex on edible meat yield characteristics of chickens reared at 48 weeks of age under farm and semi scavenging.

Variables	Gen(G)	Sex (S)		Mean	SED and Significant		
		M	F		G	S	G×S
Live weight(g/b)	<i>Deshi</i>	0.973	0.87	0.92	0.034**	0.024**	0.048**
	Fayoumi	1.41	1.11	1.26			
	RIR	1.64	1.23	1.44			
	<i>Sonali</i>	1.49	1.35	1.42			
	Mean	1.38	1.14	1.26			
Dressing yield (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	66.40	67.30	66.80	3.23**	2.28NS	4.81NS
	Fayoumi	64.10	61.40	62.70			
	RIR	65.20	57.60	61.40			
	<i>Sonali</i>	50.70	58.50	54.60			
	Mean	61.60	61.20	61.40			
Dark meat (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	29.14	23.20	26.17	0.320**	0.226**	0.452**
	Fayoumi	25.45	25.89	25.67			
	RIR	25.45	19.77	22.61			
	<i>Sonali</i>	23.65	22.01	22.83			
	Mean	25.92	22.72	24.32			
Breast:Dark meat	<i>Deshi</i>	0.50	0.58	0.54	0.007**	0.005**	0.00**
	Fayoumi	0.50	0.50	0.50			
	RIR	0.41	0.56	0.49			
	<i>Sonali</i>	0.45	0.51	0.48			
	Mean	0.47	0.54	0.50			
Thigh meat (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	13.65	10.87	12.26	0.161**	0.114**	0.228**
	Fayoumi	11.81	11.36	11.55			
	RIR	12.16	9.56	10.86			
	<i>Sonali</i>	11.16	10.21	10.65			
	Mean	12.20	10.50	11.35			
Breast meat (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	14.48	13.32	13.90	0.169**	0.120*	0.240**
	Fayoumi	12.76	12.92	12.84			
	RIR	10.63	10.97	10.80			
	<i>Sonali</i>	10.54	11.11	10.83			
	Mean	12.10	12.08	12.09			
Drumstick meat (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	9.08	6.31	7.70	0.154**	0.109**	0.218**
	Fayoumi	8.21	7.75	7.98			
	RIR	8.15	6.05	7.10			
	<i>Sonali</i>	7.25	6.72	6.99			
	Mean	8.18	6.71	7.44			

M = Male, F = Female, G = Genotype, S = Sex

NS, P>0.05, ** P< 0.01

NS = Not-significant

The breast meat: dark meat of four genotypes (Table 1) indicate *Deshi* chicken was the highest followed by Fayoumi, RIR and *Sonali* (P<0.01). The breast meat: dark meat of Females were found better than in their male counterparts and interaction between genotypes and sexes (P<0.01) was found to exist for this characteristic. Among four genotypes thigh meat of chicken breeds differed significantly (P<0.01) in the order of *Deshi*>Fayoumi>RIR>*Sonali* (Table 1) as corroborated by Nielsen et al. (2003). They found the local breeds the highest thigh meat yield than improved breeds. Males yielded 1.7% higher thigh meat than females (P<0.01). Interaction between genotypes and sexes were found.

Breast meat of both males and females belonging to four

genotypes showed that the breast meat of *Deshi* chicken attained the highest (P<0.01) value, followed by Fayoumi, RIR and *Sonali* partially agreed by Bhatti et al. (2003). They found the breast meat was the highest in Fayoumi followed by *Deshi* and *Sonali*. Sex had little effect on breast meat yield. The drumstick meat had differed significantly among the genotypes. Of all genotypes males had 1.47% higher drumstick meat yield than females. The sex difference was higher in *Deshi* followed by RIR, *Sonali* and Fayoumi, as agreed by Nielsen et al. (2003). They found drumstick meat of local breeds were higher than exotic breeds. But the findings contradicted with the findings of Azharul et al. (2005) found the drumstick meat of *Sonali* and Fayoumi were 37.5 and 36.1 respectively.

Table 2. Interaction of genotypes and sex on voluntary meat yield characteristics of chickens reared at 48 weeks of age under farm and semi scavenging.

Variable	Gen(G)	Sex (S)		Mean	SED and Significant		
		M	F		G	S	GXS
Giblet (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	5.16	6.03	5.60	0.184**	0.130**	0.260**
	Fayoumi	4.75	5.53	5.14			
	RIR	4.27	4.71	4.50			
	<i>Sonali</i>	4.99	4.51	4.57			
	Mean	4.79	5.19	4.99			
Gizzard (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	1.65	2.51	2.08	0.047**	0.033**	0.066**
	Fayoumi	1.59	2.21	1.90			
	RIR	1.52	2.35	1.94			
	<i>Sonali</i>	1.58	1.53	1.55			
	Mean	1.58	2.15	1.87			
Heart (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	0.65	0.73	0.70	0.039**	0.027**	0.054**
	Fayoumi	0.86	0.53	0.69			
	RIR	0.71	0.52	0.61			
	<i>Sonali</i>	0.53	0.42	0.47			
	Mean	0.69	0.55	0.62			
Liver (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	2.73	2.66	2.70	0.047**	0.033NS	0.067**
	Fayoumi	2.22	2.69	2.45			
	RIR	1.98	2.09	2.03			
	<i>Sonali</i>	3.01	2.45	2.73			
	Mean	2.48	2.47	2.48			
Spleen (%)	<i>Deshi</i>	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.008**	0.005NS	0.011**
	Fayoumi	0.09	0.09	0.09			
	RIR	0.06	0.08	0.07			
	<i>Sonali</i>	0.09	0.12	0.11			
	Mean	0.09	0.10	0.09			

M = Male, F = Female, G = Genotype, S = Sex
 NS, P>0.05, ** P< 0.01
 NS = Not-significant

The giblet yield was significantly (P<0.01) differed from genotype to genotype and the highest giblet yield was found in *Deshi* chicken and lowest in *Sonali*. Overall giblet was higher in females in comparison with males except *Sonali*. Gizzard yield of both males and females among four genotypes *Deshi* chicken was the highest value than other three breeds. Sex had little effect on gizzard yield. Gizzard weights were found higher in females than males, whereas *Sonali* male had higher yield than female counterpart (Table 2). Gizzard and Crop develop more on restrictive feeding than *ad libitum* feeding. In this study *Deshi* chicken adapted to semi scavenging condition had higher giblet yield than other breeds which may result from their superior adaptability to semi scavenging condition where birds were frequently exposure to feed restrictions. Birds habituated to restricted feeding store feed in their elementary tract, especially in crop and gizzard to meet up nutritional requirement during lean period of feeding. Whereas, in *ad libitum* feeding crop and gizzard are less developed for their tendency not to store feed in their elementary tract. Heart yield was significantly different (P<0.01) among four breeds. Males chicken yielded 0.14% higher heart than females except *Deshi* females had higher heart yield than male. Liver yield were higher in *Sonali* chicken followed by *Deshi*, Fayoumi and RIR. Sex did not have effect on liver yield. *Deshi* and *Sonali* males were higher liver yielding than that of female counterpart. On the other

hand, Fayoumi and RIR females were higher liver yielding than male counterpart. Spleen yielding was observed that higher in *Deshi* and *Sonali* chickens, intermediate in Fayoumi and lower in RIR chicken. Sex did not have influence on spleen yielding. Spleen yielding of male chicken was found higher than that of female, but Fayoumi male and female did not differ on spleen yielding.

4. Conclusions

This study revealed that carcass characteristics are genotype and sex dependent. Male chickens were heavier than their female counterparts. *Deshi* chicken ranked highest in all meat yield traits considered for evaluation. In most variables, males were higher meat yielding than females but females performed better than males for yielding giblet, gizzard and spleen.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Ministry of Science and Technology in Bangladesh for providing a fellowship to carry out the research and prepare this article and also grateful to the department of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh for logistic support and assistance during the period of data collection.

References

- [1] Aho, P. 2001. *Subject: Poultry Elite*. Watt Poultry, USA.
- [2] Azharul, I. M., Ranvig, H., Howliger, M. A. R. 2005. Comparison of growth rate and meat yield characteristics of cockerels between Fayoumi and *Sonali* under village conditions in Bangladesh. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 17(2): Art. 21.
- [3] Bhatti, B. M., Anjum, A. R. and Bhatti, S. U. 2003. Proportion of edible and non-edible body parts in different strains of laying chickens. *Pakistan Journal of Veterinary Research*, 1(1): 42-45.
- [4] Bhuiyan, A.K.F.H. 2011. Implementation of National Livestock Development Policy (2007) and National Poultry Development Policy (2008): Impact on smallholder livestock rearers. Keynote paper presented at the South Asia Pro Poor Livestock Policy Programme (SAPPLP)-BARC workshop held at BRAC Centre Inn, Dhaka.
- [5] Choprakarn, K., Watanakul, V., Wongsvichet, K. and Suriyachantrathong, V. 2000. Native and crossbreed chicken: Past and future. National Research Funding and Supporting Office. Bangkok, Thailand.
- [6] Dolberg, F. and Petersen, P. H. 2000. Poultry as a tool in poverty eradication and promotion of gender equality. Proceedings of a workshop, March 22-26, 1999, Tune Landboskole, Denmark.
- [7] FAO. 2003. Production Yearbook. 57: 223
- [8] FAO/APHCA (2008). Asian milk for health and wealth.
- [9] Farrel, D. 2003. Status of Poultry in Global food production, special emphasis on the Asian Pacific Region. Proceedings of 3rd World Poultry Show and Seminar, WAPSA (Bangladesh Branch), Dhaka.
- [10] Givens, D.I., 2005. The role of animal nutrition in improving the nutritive value of animal derived foods in relation to chronic disease. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 64: 395-402.
- [11] Haslinger, M., Leitgeb, R., Bauer, F., Ertle T. and Windisch, W. M. 2007. Slaughter yield and meat quality of chicken at different length of pre slaughter feed withdrawal. *Die Bodenkultu*, 58: 1-4.
- [12] Hossen, M.F., Siddique, M.A.B., Hamid, M.A., Rahman, M.M. and Moni, M.I.Z. 2012. Study on the problems and prospects of *Sonali* (Poultry) farming in different village levels of Joypurhat district in Bangladesh. *Research Publications Journal*, 6(3): 330-337.
- [13] Iqbal, S., Pampori, Z. A., and Hasin, D. 2009. Carcass and egg characteristics of indigenous chicken of Kashmir (Kashmir favorella). *Indian Journal of Animal Research*, 43(3): 194-196.
- [14] Islam, M. A. and Nishibori, M. 2010. Crossbred chicken for poultry production in the tropics. *Journal of Poultry Science*, 47(4): 271-279.
- [15] Jaturasitha, S. 2004. *Meat Management*. Mingmuang Press, Chiang Mai, Thailand
- [16] Jones, R. 1984. A standard method for the dissection of poultry for carcass analysis. Technical note number 222. *News letter*, 12: 18-19.
- [17] Kanran z., Sarwar, M., Nisa, M., Nadeem, M.A., Mahmood, S., Barbar, M.E. and Ahmed, S. 2008. Effect of low-protein diets having constant energy-to-protein ratio on performance and carcass characteristics of broiler chicken from one to thirty-five days of age. *Poultry Science*, 87: 468-474.
- [18] Mapiye, C. and Sibanda, S. 2005. Constraints and opportunities of village chicken production systems in the smallholder sector of Rushinga District of Zimbabwe. *Livestock Research Rural Development* 17(10): Art. 115.
- [19] Marchi, M. D., Cassandro, M., Lunardi, E., Baldan, G. and Siegel, P. B. 2005. Carcass characteristics and qualitative meat traits of Padovana breed of chicken. *International J. of Poultry Science*, 4 (4): 233-238.
- [20] Miao, Z. H., Glatz, P. C. and Ru, Y. J. 2005. Free-range poultry production. *Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Science*, 18(1): 113-132.
- [21] Nielsen, B. L., Thomsen, M. G., Sorensen, P. and J. F. Young. 2003. Feed and strain effects on the use of outdoor areas by broilers *British Poultry Science*, Volume 44, Issue 2, pp-161-169.
- [22] Rahman, M. 2003. Growth of poultry industry in Bangladesh, Poverty alleviation and employment opportunity. In: Proceedings of 3rd International Poultry show and Seminar, Organized by World's Poultry Science Association-Bangladesh Branch. pp. 1-7.