

Certain Subclass of P -valent Meromorphic Functions Involving the Extended Multiplier Transformations

R. M. El-Ashwah

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta, Egypt

Email address

r_elashwah@yahoo.com

To cite this article

R. M. El-Ashwah. Certain Subclass of P -valent Meromorphic Functions Involving the Extended Multiplier Transformations. *Open Science Journal of Mathematics and Application*. Vol. 3, No. 3, 2015, pp. 43-49.

Abstract

Using the linear operator $I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)$ ($\lambda \geq 0, \ell > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) for a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ the class of P -valent meromorphic functions El-Ashwah [6] and the principle of subordination [11], we introduce the class $M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$, which satisfies the following condition: $\frac{1}{\beta-p} \left[\beta + \frac{z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right] < \phi(z)$ ($\beta > p; \phi \in \mathcal{P}; z \in U$). Such results as inclusion relationships, integral representations, convolution properties and integral-preserving properties for these functions class are obtained.

Keywords

Subordination, Analytic, Meromorphic, Multivalent, Multiplier Transformations

1. Introduction

Let A_p denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form:

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. If $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in U , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ written symbolically as follows $f \prec g$ in U or $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$), if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$), such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in U$). Indeed it is known that $f(z) \prec g(z)$ ($z \in U$) $\Rightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$. Further, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalent (cf., e.g., [11]; see also [12, p.4])

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \prec g(U).$$

Let P denote the class of functions of the form:

$$\phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n,$$

which are analytic and convex in U and satisfies the following condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(z)\} > 0, \quad (z \in U).$$

Also let Σ_p be the class of functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the punctured unit disc $U^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$. We note that $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma$ the class of univalent meromorphic functions. For functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ given by (1.2) and $g(z) \in \Sigma_p$ given by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^{n-p} \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}),$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^{n-p} = (g * f)(z).$$

El-Ashwah [6] (see also [7-10]) defined a linear operator $I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)$ ($\lambda \geq 0, \ell > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) for a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ as follows:

$$I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda n + \ell}{\ell} \right]^m a_n z^{n-p}. \quad (1.3)$$

we can write (1.3) as follows:

$$I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z) = (\Phi_{\lambda, \ell}^{p, m} * f)(z),$$

where

$$\Phi_{\lambda, \ell}^{p, m}(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\lambda n + \ell}{\ell} \right]^m z^{n-p}. \quad (1.4)$$

It is easily verified from (1.3), that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))' \\ &= \ell I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell)f(z) - (\ell + p\lambda)I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z) \quad (\lambda > 0). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

We observe that the operator $I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)$ reduce to several interesting many other operators considered earlier for different choices of λ, ℓ, p and m (see e.g. [1-4], [15-16]).

Throughout this paper, we assume that $p, k \in \mathbb{N}, \ell, m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \epsilon_k = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{k})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_k^{jp} (I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(\epsilon_k^j z)) \\ &= z^{-p} + \dots (f \in \Sigma_p). \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Clearly, for $k = 1$, we have

$$f_{p, 1}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) = I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z).$$

Making use of the extended multiplier transformation $I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)$ and the above mentioned principle of subordination between analytic functions, we now introduce and investigate the following subclasses of the class Σ_p of p -valent meromorphic functions.

Definition 1. A function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $M_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta)$ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(-\frac{z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{f_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) < \beta \quad (\beta > p; z \in U), \quad (1.7)$$

where $f_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \neq 0$ ($z \in U^*$) is defined by (1.6).

Also, a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class

$N_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta)$ if and only if

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in M_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta).$$

Remark 1. (i) Putting $\lambda = k = 1$ and $m = \ell = 0$ in the classes $M_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta)$ and $N_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta)$ we obtain the function classes $M_p(\beta)$ and $N_p(\beta)$ which are introduced and studied by Wang et al. [17] and Wang et al. [18];

(ii) Putting $p = \lambda = k = 1$ and $m = \ell = 0$ in the class $M_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta)$ we obtain the function class $\Sigma_N^{**}(\beta)$ which are introduced and studied by Sarangi and Uralegaddi [14].

Definition 2. A function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $M_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$ if it satisfies the following subordination condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\beta - p} \left(\beta + \frac{z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{f_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \prec \phi(z) \\ & (\beta > p; \phi \in P; z \in U), \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where $f_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \neq 0$ ($z \in U$) is defined by (1.6).

In this paper, we aim and proving such results as inclusion relationships, integral representations, convolution properties and integral-preserving properties for the function class $M_{p, k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$.

2. Preliminaries

In order to establish our main results, we shall use of the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 [5, 11]. Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose also that $\phi(z)$ is convex and univalent in U with

$$\phi(0) = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}\{\beta\phi(z) + \gamma\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

If $p(z)$ is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$, then the following subordination:

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta p(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z)$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Lemma 2 [13]. Let $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $\phi(z)$ is convex and univalent in U with

$$\phi(0) = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}\{\beta\phi(z) + \gamma\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

Also let

$$q(z) \prec \phi(z).$$

If $p(z) \in P$ and satisfies the following subordination:

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z),$$

then

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z).$$

Lemma 3. Let $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\beta - p} \left(\beta + \frac{z(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z))'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \prec \phi(z). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. In view of (1.6), we replace z by $\in_k^j z$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k-1$) in $f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)$. We thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \in_k^j z) &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \in_k^{np} (I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f)(\in_k^{n+j} z) \\ &= \in_k^{-jp} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \in_k^{(n+j)p} (I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f)(\in_k^{n+j} z) \\ &= \in_k^{-jp} f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Differentiating both sides of (1.6) with respect to z , we obtain

$$(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z))' = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \in_k^{j(p+1)} (I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f)'(\in_k^j z). \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore, from (2.2) and (2.3), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\beta - p} \left(\beta + \frac{z(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z))'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta - p} \left(\beta + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \in_k^{j(p+1)} \frac{z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f)'(\in_k^j z)}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta - p} \left(\beta + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\in_k^j z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f)'(\in_k^j z)}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \in_k^j z)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, since $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\beta - p} \left(\beta + \frac{\in_k^j z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f)'(\in_k^j z)}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \in_k^j z)} \right) \prec \phi(z) \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1; z \in U). \quad (2.5)$$

Finally, by noting that $\phi(z)$ is convex and univalent in U , from (2.4) and (2.5), we conclude that the assertion (2.1) of Lemma 3 holds true.

3. Properties of the Function Class

$$M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$$

In this section, we obtain some inclusion relationships for the function class $M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$.

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume throughout the paper that $\lambda > 0, \ell \geq 0, \beta > p, p, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Theorem 1. Let $\phi \in P$ with

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (\beta - p)\phi(z) - \beta + p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

then

$$M_{p,k}^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi) \subset M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi).$$

Proof. Making use of the relationships in equations (1.5) and (1.6), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &z \left(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \phi) \right)' + \left(p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \right) f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \\ &= \left(\frac{\ell}{\lambda} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \in_k^{jp} \left(I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(\in_k^j z) \right) = \frac{\ell}{\lambda} f_{p,k}^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell; z) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Let $f \in M_{p,k}^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$ and suppose that

$$(\beta - p)p(z) - \beta = \frac{z(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z))'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \quad (z \in U). \quad (3.2)$$

Then $p(z)$ is analytic in U and $p(0) = 1$. It follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$(\beta - p)p(z) - \beta + p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} = \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \frac{f_{p,k}^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell; z)}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.3) logarithmically with respect to z and using (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(\beta - p)p(z) - \beta + p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\beta - p)} \left(\beta + \frac{z(f_{p,k}^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell; z))'}{f_{p,k}^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

From (3.4) and Lemma 3 (with m replaced by $(m+1)$), we can see that

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(\beta - p)p(z) - \beta + p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda}} \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in U). \quad (3.5)$$

Since $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (\beta - p)\phi(z) - \beta + p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$ by

Lemma 1, we have

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{(\beta - p)} \left(\beta + \frac{z \left(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \right)'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in U). \quad (3.6)$$

By setting

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{(\beta - p)} \left(\beta + \frac{z \left(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) \right)'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \quad (z \in U), \quad (3.7)$$

we observe that $q(z)$ is analytic in U and $q(0) = 1$. It follows from (1.5) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\beta - p)q(z) - \beta) f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \\ &= \frac{\ell}{\lambda} I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z) - \left(p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \right) I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Differentiating both sides of (3.8) with respect to z and using (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\beta - p)zq'(z) + \left(p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} + (\beta - p)p(z) - \beta \right) ((\beta - p)q(z) - \beta) \\ &= \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{z \left(I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z) \right)'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.2), (3.3) and (3.9), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{(\beta - p)p(z) - \beta + p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\beta - p)} \left(\beta + \frac{z \left(I_p^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell) f(z) \right)'}{f_{p,k}^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in U)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (\beta - p)\phi(z) - \beta + p + \frac{\ell}{\lambda} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

it follows from (3.9) and Lemma 2 that

$$q(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

that is, that $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. This implies that

$$M_{p,k}^{m+1}(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi) \subset M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi).$$

Hence the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

4. Integral Representation

In this section, we obtain a number of integral representations associated with the function class $M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$.

Theorem 2. Let $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then

$$f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) = z^{-p} \exp \left\{ \frac{(\beta - p)}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\phi(w(\in_k^j \xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi \right\}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)$ is defined by (1.6), $w(z)$ is analytic in U and satisfy $w(0) = 1$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$).

Proof. Suppose that $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then condition (1.8) can be written as follows:

$$\frac{z \left(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(z) \right)'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} = (\beta - p)\phi(w(z)) - \beta \quad (z \in U), \quad (4.2)$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in U and satisfy $w(0) = 1$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$). Replacing z by $\in_k^j z$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$) in (4.2), we observe that (4.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\in_k^j z \left(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell) f(\in_k^j z) \right)'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \in_k^j z)} \\ &= (\beta - p)(\phi(w(\in_k^j z))) - \beta \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

We note that

$$f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \in_k^j z) = \in_k^{-jp} f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Thus, by letting $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ in (4.3), successively, and summing the resulting equations, we have

$$\frac{z \left(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \right)'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} = \frac{(\beta - p)}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi(w(\in_k^j z)) - \beta \quad (z \in U). \quad (4.4)$$

From (4.4), we get

$$\frac{\left(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \right)'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} + \frac{p}{z} = \frac{(\beta - p)}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left[\frac{\phi(w(\in_k^j z)) - 1}{z} \right] \quad (z \in U), \quad (4.5)$$

which, upon integration, yields

$$\log \left(z^p f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \right) = \frac{(\beta - p)}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\phi(w(\in_k^j \xi)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi. \quad (4.6)$$

Then, the assertion (4.1) of Theorem 2 can now easily obtained from (4.6).

Remark 2. Putting $k=1, m=0$ and $\phi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Theorem 2 we obtain the result obtained by Wang et al. [17, Th. 2].

Theorem 3. Let $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then

$$I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(\beta-p)\phi(w(\xi))-\beta}{\xi^{p+1}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{(\beta-p)}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^\xi \frac{\phi(w(\xi_j)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right) d\xi, \quad (4.7)$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in U and satisfy $w(0)=1$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$).

Proof. Suppose that $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then, from (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))' &= \frac{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)}{z} ((\beta-p)\phi(w(z))-\beta) \\ &= \frac{((\beta-p)\phi(w(z))-\beta)}{z^{p+1}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{(\beta-p)}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^z \frac{\phi(w(\xi_j)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

which, upon integration, leads us easily to the assertion (4.7) of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. Let $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then

$$I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(\beta-p)\phi(w_2(\xi))-\beta}{\xi^{p+1}} \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^\xi \frac{(\beta-p)[\phi(w_1(\xi)) - 1]}{\xi} d\xi\right) d\xi, \quad (4.9)$$

where $w_i(z)$ ($i=1,2$) are analytic in U with $w_i(0)=0$ and $|w_i(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U; i=1,2$).

Proof. Suppose that $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. We then find from (2.1) that

$$\frac{z(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z))'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} = (\beta-p)\phi(w_1(z))-\beta \quad (z \in U), \quad (4.10)$$

Where $w_1(z)$ is analytic in U with $w_1(0)=1$. Thus, by similarly applying the method of proof of Theorem 3, we find that

$$f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) = z^{-p} \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{(\beta-p)[\phi(w_1(\xi)) - 1]}{\xi} d\xi\right). \quad (4.11)$$

It now follows from (4.2) and (4.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} (I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))' &= \frac{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)}{z} ((\beta-p)\phi(w_2(z))-\beta) \\ &= \frac{(\beta-p)\phi(w_2(z))-\beta}{z^{p+1}} \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{(\beta-p)[\phi(w_1(\xi)) - 1]}{\xi} d\xi\right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where $w_i(z)$ ($i=1,2$) are analytic in U with $w_i(0)=0$ and

$|w_i(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U; i=1,2$). Integrating both sides of (4.12), we will obtain the assertion (4.9) of Theorem 4.

5. Convolution Properties

In this section, we derive some convolution properties for the class $M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$.

Theorem 5. Let $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \left[\int_0^z \frac{(\beta-p)\phi(w(\xi))-\beta}{\xi^{p+1}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{(\beta-p)}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^\xi \frac{\phi(w(\xi_j)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right) d\xi \right] * \\ &\quad * \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda n} \right)^m z^{n-p} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in U with $w(0)=1$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$).

Proof. In view of (1.3) and (4.7), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^z \frac{(\beta-p)\phi(w(\xi))-\beta}{\xi^{p+1}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{(\beta-p)}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_0^\xi \frac{\phi(w(\xi_j)) - 1}{\xi} d\xi\right) d\xi \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell + \lambda n}{\ell} \right)^m z^{n-p} \right) * f(z) = \Phi_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(z) * f(z), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where $\Phi_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(z)$ is given by (1.4).

Thus, from (5.2), we can easily get the assertion (5.1) of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. Let $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \left[\int_0^z \frac{(\beta-p)\phi(w_2(\xi))-\beta}{\xi^{p+1}} \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^\xi \frac{(\beta-p)[\phi(w_1(\xi)) - 1]}{\xi} d\xi\right) d\xi \right] * \\ &\quad * \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell}{\ell + \lambda n} \right)^m z^{n-p} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where $w_j(z)$ ($j=1,2$) are analytic in U with $w_j(0)=0$ and $|w_j(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U; j=1,2$).

Proof. In view of (1.4) and (4.9), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^z \frac{(\beta-p)\phi(w_2(\xi))-\beta}{\xi^{p+1}} \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^\xi \frac{(\beta-p)[\phi(w_1(\xi)) - 1]}{\xi} d\xi\right) d\xi \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell + \lambda n}{\ell} \right)^m z^{n-p} \right) * f(z) = \Phi_{p,\lambda,\ell}^m(z) * f(z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Thus, from (5.4), we easily obtain (5.3).

Theorem 7. Let $f \in \Sigma_p$ and $\phi \in P$. Then $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$ if and only if

$$z^p \left\{ f * \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell + \lambda n}{\ell} \right)^m (n-p) z^{n-p} \right] - ((\beta-p)\phi(e^{i\theta}) - \beta) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell + \lambda n}{\ell} \right)^m z^{n-p} \right) * \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{z^{-p}}{1 - \epsilon^v z} \right) \right\} \neq 0$$

$$(z \in U; 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi). \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. Suppose that $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Since

$$\frac{z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \prec (\beta-p)\phi(z) - \beta$$

is equivalent to

$$\frac{z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \neq (\beta-p)\phi(e^{i\theta}) - \beta \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi), \quad (5.6)$$

it is easy to see that the condition (5.6) can be written as follows:

$$z^p \left[z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))' - f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)((\beta-p)\phi(e^{i\theta}) - \beta) \right] \neq 0 \quad (z \in U; 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi). \quad (5.7)$$

On the other hand, we know from (1.3) that

$$z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z))' = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell + \lambda n}{\ell} \right)^m (n-p) z^{n-p} \right) * f(z). \quad (5.8)$$

Also, from the definition of $f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)$, we have

$$f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) = I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z) * \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{z^p}{1 - \epsilon^v z} \right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\ell + \lambda n}{\ell} \right)^m z^{n-p} \right) * \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{v=0}^{k-1} \frac{z^{-p}}{1 - \epsilon^v z} \right) * f(z). \quad (5.9)$$

Upon substituting from (5.8) and (5.9) in (5.7), we can easily obtain the convolution property (5.5) asserted by Theorem 7.

Remark 3. Putting $k=1, m=0$ and $\phi(z) = \frac{1+e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}}$ ($0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$) in Theorem 7 we obtain the result obtained by Wang et al. [17, Th. 3].

6. Integral-Preserving Properties

In this section, we prove some integral-preserving properties for the class $M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$.

Theorem 8. Let $\phi \in P$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(\beta-p)\phi(z) - \beta + p + \mu\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

If $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$, then the function $F(z) \in \Sigma_p$ defined by

$$F(z) = \frac{\mu}{z^{\mu+p}} \int_0^z t^{\mu+p-1} f(t) dt \quad (\mu > 0; z \in U) \quad (6.1)$$

belongs to the class $M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. Then, from (6.1), we find that

$$z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)F(z))' + (\mu+p)I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)F(z) = \mu I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)f(z). \quad (6.2)$$

Thus, in view of (1.6) and (6.1), we have

$$z(F_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z))' + (\mu+p)F_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) = \mu f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z) \quad (6.3)$$

We now put

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{\beta-p} \left(\beta + \frac{z(F_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z))'}{F_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \quad (z \in U). \quad (6.4)$$

Then $H(z)$ is analytic in U and $H(0)=1$. It follows from (6.3) and (6.4) that

$$(\beta-p)H(z) - \beta + p + \mu = \mu \frac{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)}{F_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)}. \quad (6.5)$$

Differentiating both sides of (6.5) logarithmically with respect to z and using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{(\beta-p)H(z) - \beta + p + \mu} = \frac{1}{\beta-p} \left(\beta + \frac{z(f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z))'}{f_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \prec \phi(z). \quad (6.6)$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\{(\beta-p)\phi(z) - \beta + p + \mu\} > 0$ ($z \in U$), it follows from (6.6) and Lemma 1 that $H(z) \prec \phi(z)$ ($z \in U$). Furthermore, we suppose that

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{\beta-p} \left(\beta + \frac{z(I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)F(z))'}{F_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; z)} \right) \quad (z \in U).$$

The remainder of the proof of Theorem 8 is similar to that of Theorem 1. We, therefore, choose to omit the analogous details involved. We thus find that

$$G(z) \prec \phi(z),$$

which implies that $F(z) \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$. This completes

the proof of Theorem 8.

Remark 4. By specializing the parameters λ, ℓ, p and m , we can obtain corresponding results for various subclasses associated with various operators.

7. Conclusion

The author used the operator $I_p^m(\lambda, \ell)$ to define the class

$M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$ of meromorphic analytic functions.

Inclusion relationships, integral representations, convolution properties and integral-preserving properties for these function class are obtained. Some results concerning to the class $N_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta; \phi)$ can be obtained from the relation

$$f(z) \in N_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad -\frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in M_{p,k}^m(\lambda, \ell; \beta).$$

Acknowledgements

The author records her sincere thanks to the referee for the comments given towards the manuscript.

References

- [1] F. M. Al-Oboudi and H. A. Al-Zkeri, Applications of Briot-Bouquet differential subordination to certain classes of meromorphic functions, Arab J. Math Sci., 12(2005), no. 1, 1-14.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and H. M. Hossen, New criteria for meromorphic p-valent starlike functions, Tsukuba J. Math., 17(1993), 481-486.
- [3] N. E. Cho, O. S. Kwon, and H. M. Srivastava, Inclusion and argument propertie for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 300(2004), 505-520.
- [4] N. E. Cho, O. S. Known and H. M. Srivastava, Inclusion relationships for certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of multiplier transformations, Integral Transforms Special Functions, 16(2005), no. 18, 647-659.
- [5] P. J. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 65(1978), 289--305.
- [6] R. M. El-Ashwah, A note on certain meromorphic p-valent functions, Appl. Math. Letters, 22(2009), 1756-1759.
- [7] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, Differential subordination and superordination on p-valent meromorphic functions defined by extended multiplier transformations, European J. Pure Appl. Math., 3(2010), no. 6, 1070-1085
- [8] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, Some properties of certain subclasses of meromorphically p-valent functions involving extended multiplier transformations, Comput. Math. Appl. 59(2010), 2111-2120.
- [9] R. M. El-Ashwah, Properties of certain class of p-valent meromorphic functions associated with new integral operator, Acta Univ. Apulensis, (2012), no. 29, 255-264.
- [10] R. M. EL-Ashwah, M. K. Aouf and T. Bulboaca, Differential subordinations for classes of meromorphic p—valent Functions defined by multiplier transformations, Bull. Austr.Math. Soc., 83(2011), 353-368.
- [11] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, On some classes of first order differential subordination, Michigan Math. J. 32(1985), 185-195.
- [12] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations : Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. no. 225, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 2000.
- [13] K. S. Padmanabhan and R. Parvathem, Some applications of differential subordination, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 32(1985), 321-330.
- [14] S. M. Sarangi, and S. B. Uralegaddi, Extreme points of meromorphic univalent functions with two fixed points, Analels Stintifice Ale Univ., 11(1995), 127-134.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava and J. Patel, Applications of differential subordination to certain classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., 6(2005), no. 3, Art.88, 1-15.
- [16] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 43(1991), 137-140.
- [17] Z. Wang, Y. Sun and Z. Zhang, Certain classes meromorphic multivalent functions, Comput. Math. Appl., 58(2009), 1408-1417.
- [18] Z. Wang, Z. Liu and A. Catas, On neighborhood and partial sums of certain meromorphic multivalent functions, Appl. Math. Letters, 24(2011), 864-868.